

# WAGENINGEN EVALUATING PROGRAMS FOR ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES

# **Certificate of Analysis**



**International Biomass Exchange Program** 

REFERENCE MATERIAL
BIMEP sample 431



#### Certificate of Analysis BIMEP 431

#### **General Information**

In this report an overview is given of analytical data for this sample collected in our proficiency testing program. The consensus values are calculated using a robust statistical model. With this NDA model mean and standard deviation are calculated using all reported data when at least 8 results are left after removal of reported 'lower than' (<) and 0 (= zero) values. No outliers are removed.

This report is divided into three sections: Consensus Values, Indicative Values and Values for Information. The division is made on the reliability of the data. Consensus Values are based on at least 16 results while the coefficient of variation is smaller than 25 %. Indicative Values are based on at least 8 and less than 16 results or a coefficient of variation between 25 % and 50 %. Other values, based on more than 2 and less than 8 results or a coefficient of variation higher than 50 %, are given for information only.

In the sections with Consensus Values and Indicative Values the following parameters are given: mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, number of results, median and MAD (Median of Absolute Deviation) and the uncertainty in the consensus values. The confidence limits (at 95 % probability) are calculated for these determinands.

In the section with Information Values the following parameters are given: median, MAD and number of results. For determinands which have at least 5 results reported as smaller than (<) the median of these 'smaller than results' is calculated. In some cases this median of '<' values is much smaller than median and mean of the indicative values. This may be caused by a too optimistic (too low) value for the detection limit reported by a (small) majority of participating laboratories who report '<'-values.

All values, expressed on a weight basis (kg or %), are reported in oven dry (105 °C) material. Moisture is reported in the material as received.

#### Sample information

WEPAL reference materials are from natural sources only. There is no spiking, mixing or other alterations of the samples. For sample preparation the BIMEP samples are dried at 40  $^{\circ}$ C and milled to pass a 0.5 mm sieve.

This BIMEP sample 431 of Compost from Switzerland is prepared for the WEPAL proficiency programs. The sample is used in 5 periods (or rounds). The results on which the values in this report are based were taken from the periods given in the following table.

Year	Round	Number
2021	4	1
2018	4	4
2015	1	4
2013	3	3
2010	1	4

# Ві́МЕР



## Consensus Values BIMEP 431

	Consensus value				DIIVIER	431					
Method: General Analysis Element	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	CV %	N	Median	MAD	Uncertainty	95 % con	fiden	ce limits
Ash	% (m/m)	75.9	0.70	0.9	50	75.8	0.50	0.12	75.74	-	76.14
Moisture	% (m/m)	3.76	0.362	9.6	51	3.72	0.250	0.063	3.66	-	3.86
Calorific Value (gross)	MJ/kg	4.50	0.197	4.4	42	4.52	0.139	0.038	4.43	-	4.56
Volatile Matter	% (m/m)	24.0	0.71	3.0	35	24.0	0.50	0.15	23.76	-	24.25
Method: Elementary Analysis											
Element	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	CV %	N	Median	MAD	Uncertainty	95 % confidence limits		e limits:
Carbon (C)	% (m/m)	14.0	0.49	3.5	42	13.9	0.33	0.09	13.85	-	14.15
Hydrogen (H)	% (m/m)	1.49	0.163	11.0	37	1.52	0.112	0.033	1.43	-	1.54
Nitrogen (N)	% (m/m)	1.05	0.072	6.8	43	1.04	0.050	0.014	1.02	-	1.07
Method: Major Elements											
Element	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	CV %	N	Median	MAD	Uncertainty	95 % confidence limits		e limits:
Ca	g/kg	50.9	7.37	14.5	17	51.2	4.93	2.24	47.1	-	54.7
Fe	g/kg	20.0	1.69	8.5	19	20.0	1.21	0.49	19.2	-	20.8
Mg	g/kg	9.68	0.865	8.9	17	9.47	0.630	0.262	9.24	-	10.1
P	g/kg	2.41	0.445	18.4	16	2.53	0.319	0.139	2.18	-	2.65
Method: Minor Elements											
Element	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	CV %	N	Median	MAD	Uncertainty	95 % con	fidenc	e limits:
Cu	mg/kg	45.1	9.99	22.2	21	45.0	7.30	2.72	40.5	-	49.6
Mn	mg/kg	672	121.2	18.0	22	673	85.0	32.3	618	-	726
Ni	mg/kg	30.9	4.19	13.6	19	31.2	3.00	1.20	28.8	-	32.9
Pb	mg/kg	36.5	8.67	23.8	18	37.0	6.00	2.55	32.2	-	40.8
Zn	mg/kg	130	28.6	22.1	21	133	19.8	7.8	117	-	143

# Ві́МЕР



## Indicative Values BIMEP 431

Method: Major Elements Element	<b>Unit</b> g/kg	<b>Mean</b> 32.5	<b>Std.Dev.</b> 4.25	<b>CV</b> %	<b>N</b> 15	Median 32.3	<b>MAD</b> 3.01	Uncertainty 1.37	<b>95 % confidenc</b> 30.2 -	<b>e limits</b> 34.9
K	g/kg	12.9	5.88	45.5	18	14.8	4.04	1.73	10.0 -	15.8
Si	g/kg	223	59.5	26.6	16	224	37.6	18.6	192 -	255
Method: Minor Elements										
Element	Unit	Mean	Std.Dev.	CV %	N	Median	MAD	Uncertainty	95 % confidence limits	
As	mg/kg	8.73	1.822	20.9	14	9.26	1.333	0.609	7.68 -	9.77
Be	mg/kg	0.860	0.3714	43.2	9	0.980	0.2800	0.1548	0.580 -	1.14
Co	mg/kg	7.56	1.581	20.9	15	7.70	1.122	0.510	6.69 -	8.43
Cr	mg/kg	80.7	34.67	42.9	19	78.0	22.90	9.94	64.1 -	97.4
Hg	mg/kg	0.0914	0.0096	10.5	13	0.0930	0.0070	0.0033	0.0857 -	0.0972
Mo	mg/kg	3.58	1.244	34.8	10	3.55	0.850	0.492	2.70 -	4.46
Sn	mg/kg	3.38	1.061	31.4	11	3.23	0.715	0.400	2.68 -	4.09
V	mg/kg	47.8	13.17	27.6	13	51.1	9.57	4.57	39.9 -	55.7

## ВîМЕР



### Informative Values BIMEP 431

			IIIIOIIIIati	ve values	DIVIER 431				
Method: Element	ary Analysis Unit	Median	MAD	N					
Oxygen (O)	% (m/m)	10.5	4.64	7					
CI	g/kg	0.600	0.3355	30					
S	g/kg	1.08	0.417	36					
Method: Water So	oluble Elements								
Element	Unit	Median	MAD	N					
CI	g/kg	0.516	0.3480	4					
K	g/kg	3.85	0.798	6					
Na	g/kg	0.426	0.0545	6					
Method: Major El	ements								
Element	Unit	Median	MAD	N					
Na	g/kg	4.74	1.605	18					
Method: Minor El	ements				Results smaller	than (<)			
Element	Unit	Median	MAD	N	Median of <	N			
Ва	mg/kg	136	58.9	16					
Cd	mg/kg	0.390	0.1810	15					
F	mg/kg	25.5	19.38	8					
Sb	mg/kg	1.14	0.250	7					
Se	mg/kg	0.600	0.4000	5	0.960	6			
Te	mg/kg	-	-	0	0.100	5			
Ti	mg/kg	1690	472	12					
TI	mg/kg	0.380	0.0800	7					